

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

GLEN LANGLEY, §
Plaintiff §
§
vs. § CIVIL ACTION NO. _____
§
§
§
§
IC SYSTEM, INC., §
Defendant §

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

COMES NOW Glen Langley, hereinafter referred to as Plaintiff complaining against IC System, Inc. hereinafter referred to as Defendant and for cause of action would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND JURISDICTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual plaintiff for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA"), the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act, Chapter 392 (hereinafter "TDCPA") and the Texas Business and Commerce Code, Subchapter E, Chapter 17, (hereinafter "DTPA"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices. Plaintiff seeks to recover monetary damages for Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, the TDCPA and the

DTPA and to have an Order or injunction issued by this Court preventing Defendant from continuing its behavior in violation of the FDCPA. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and venue is proper before this Court as Plaintiff and/or Defendant reside in this District and/or the complained of actions occurred in this District. Service may be made upon Defendant in any other district in which it may be found pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2).

2. Plaintiff, Glen Langley, is a natural person residing in Montgomery County. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

3. Defendant, IC System, Inc. is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting consumer “debts” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(5) by use of the mails and telephone, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another, and is therefore a “debt collector” as defined by § 1692(a)(6).

4. The actions of Defendant which are the subject of this complaint were undertaken pursuant to a “debt collection,” as defined by Tex Fin Code § 392.001(5), and therefore Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by Tex Fin Code § 392.001(6).

FIRST COUNT

5. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding consumer debt. Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:

- a) Taking non-judicial action to effect dispossession or disablement of Plaintiffs property where there was no present right to possession of the property claimed as collateral through an enforceable security interest, including attempting to withdraw the entire balance of over \$14,000 from Plaintiffs bank account without authorization to do so, and in so doing indirectly causing \$150 in returned check fees to be charged to Plaintiff (§ 1692f(6)(A); and
- b) Failure to provide Plaintiff notice, not more than ten nor less than three days prior to the withdrawal, of a withdrawal from his checking account that was postdated by more than five days (§ 1692f(2);
- c) Submitting an automatic withdrawal request to Plaintiff's bank for an amount greater than what Plaintiff had given permission for, and using Plaintiff's banking account information, which had been given to Defendant

in good faith pursuant to earlier, approved transactions (§ 1692e(10); § 1692f; § 1692d). and

d) Falsely representing or implying that Plaintiff had committed a crime or other conduct, in order to disgrace Plaintiff (§ 1692e(7)).

6. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

SECOND COUNT
VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

7. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.

8. Defendant violated the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act in one or more of the following ways:

a) Falsely accusing Plaintiff of committing a crime in violation of Tex Fin Code 392.301(2));

b) Using a false representation to collect a debt, including attempting to withdraw the entire balance of over \$14,000 from Plaintiffs bank account without authorization to do so, and in so doing indirectly causing \$150 in

returned check fees to be charged to Plaintiff in violation of Tex Fin Code § 392.304(19);

- c) Using a false representation to collect a debt by submitting an automatic withdrawal request to Plaintiff's bank for an amount greater than what Plaintiff had given permission for in violation of Tex Fin Code § 392.304(19); and
- d) Was otherwise deceptive and failed to comply with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

9. As a result of Defendant's violations as aforesaid, Plaintiff suffered a substantial disruption in her daily routine thus warranting an award of emotional and/or mental anguish damages.

10. Defendant's aforementioned statutory violations also constitute an invasion of Plaintiff's right to privacy, causing additional injury to Plaintiff's feelings, mental anguish and distress.

11. As a result of the above statutory violations and invasion of privacy, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, exemplary damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

THIRD COUNT
VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS DECEPTIVE TRADE
PRACTICES ACT

12. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.
13. This suit is brought, in part, under the authority of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Deceptive Trade Practices and Plaintiff Protection Act and cited in this petition as the “DTPA”.
14. Defendant was given notice in writing of the claims made in this Petition more than sixty days before this suit was filed in the manner and form required by DTPA §17.505 (a).
15. Defendant made numerous material misrepresentations in an attempt to collect the purported consumer debt as detailed above.
16. Defendant knew or should have known that its representations were false and/or acted in reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of its representations.
17. Defendant’s actions were done maliciously and in willful, wanton and reckless disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff.
18. Defendant’s actions as detailed above constitute a violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41.
19. The conduct described above has been and is a producing and proximate cause of damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff’s damages include: Statutory damages of at least \$100.00 per violation, actual/economic damages, emotional and/or mental

anguish damages, exemplary/punitive damages, and Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs.

20. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.

21. Plaintiff seeks reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in this case through the time judgment is entered and for any post-trial or post-judgment appeals, to be detailed through a statement of services submitted at the appropriate time.

FOURTH COUNT
COMMON LAW INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION

22. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.

23. Defendant's aforementioned violations of the FDCPA also constitute an intentional intrusion into Plaintiff's private places and into private matters of Plaintiff's life, conducted in a manner highly offensive to a reasonable person. Plaintiff had a subjective expectation of privacy in the context of Defendant's actions that was objectively reasonable under the circumstances.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests that Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein and upon final hearing hereof, Plaintiff has judgment of and against Defendant as follows:

- (a) a declaratory judgment be entered that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- (b) an award of actual damages;
- (c) an award of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- (d) an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and,
- (e) a declaratory judgment be entered that Defendant's conduct violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act;
- (f) an award for all actual damages, exemplary damages, emotional/mental anguish damages and all statutory additional damages, all attorneys fees, costs of court, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rates.
- (g) seeks an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.

(h) such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Susan Landgraf
Susan Landgraf
SD Bar # 15824
Texas State Bar # 00784702
Attorney in Charge for Plaintiff

WEISBERG & MEYERS, L.L.C.
4510 Bull Creek Road
Austin, TX 78731
Phone: (512) 436-0036 ext. 116
Facsimile: (866) 317-2674

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 3, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, using the electronic case filing system of the court.

/s/ Susan A. Landgraf
SUSAN A. LANDGRAF